8%

1%

2011 Tenant Satisfaction Survey Results

Based on sample of 982 returned surveys; a 49.2% response rate.

Racial/other harassment

Abandoned vehicles

12

13

12

13

12

13

Tenant satisfaction with	2009	2010	2011	1	House mark Top %	House mark status	Target	Comments
Neighbourhood as a place to live	N/a	84%	86.7%	1	86%	Тор	85%	Exceeded target, top quartile & improving trend
Grounds maintenance service (grass cutting, communal gardening)	N/a	76%	80.9%	1	Not bench marked	N/a	78%	Exceeded target improving trend
Estate services (litter picking; communal repairs)	N/a	79%	84.5%	1	Not bench marked	N/a	70%	Exceeded target improving trend
73% of respondents liv Those 242 tenants rate								
Estate workers' internal cleaning service	N/a	60%	73.2%	1	Not bench marked	N/a	70%	Exceeded target improving trend
respondence were den		onses av			llowing v	were a pr	oblem in	their
1 Noopondonto noro don					llowing v	vere a pro		their
	iple respo	onses av			Rank hange			Combined
neighbourhood : (mult	iple respo	2010	ailable)		Rank	20 ′ Major	11 Minor	Combined
neighbourhood : (mult	2009 Rank	2010 Rank	Rank		Rank hange	20′ Major problem	Minor problen	1 Combined
neighbourhood : (multi-	2009 Rank	2010 Rank	Rank		Rank hange ⇔	Major problem	Minor problen 30%	Combined 59%
Car parking Rubbish or litter Children/	Rank 1 2	2010 Rank 1 3	Rank 1 2		Rank hange ⇔	Major problem 29% 16%	Minor problen 30% 38%	Combined 59% 54%
neighbourhood : (multi-	Rank 1 2 4	2010 Rank 1 3 5	Rank 1 2 3		Rank hange ⇔ û	20° Major problem 29% 16% 10%	Minor problen 30% 38% 27%	Combined 59% 54% 37%
neighbourhood : (multi- Car parking Rubbish or litter Children/ Teenagers Noisy neighbours	2009 Rank 1 2 4 5	2010 Rank 1 3 5	Rank 1 2 3		Rank hange	20° Major problem 29% 16% 10%	Minor problem 30% 38% 27% 21%	Combined 59% 54% 37% 35%
neighbourhood : (multi- Car parking Rubbish or litter Children/ Teenagers Noisy neighbours Drunk/rowdy behaviour	2009 Rank 1 2 4 5 7	2010 Rank 1 3 5 4 6	Rank 1 2 3 4 5		Rank hange	20° Major problem 29% 16% 10% 14% 10%	Minor problem 30% 38% 27% 21% 24%	Combined 59% 54% 37% 35% 34%
neighbourhood: (multi- Car parking Rubbish or litter Children/ Teenagers Noisy neighbours Drunk/rowdy behaviour Drug use / dealing Noisy traffic Vandalism/ graffiti	2009 Rank 1 2 4 5 7 3	2010 Rank 1 3 5 4 6 2	Rank 1 2 3 4 5 6		Rank hange	20° Major problem 29% 16% 10% 14% 10% 11%	Minor problem 30% 38% 27% 21% 24% 19%	Combined 59% 54% 37% 35% 34% 30%
neighbourhood: (multi- Car parking Rubbish or litter Children/ Teenagers Noisy neighbours Drunk/rowdy behaviour Drug use / dealing Noisy traffic Vandalism/	2009 Rank 1 2 4 5 7 3 6	2010 Rank 1 3 5 4 6 2 7=	Rank 1 2 3 4 5 6 7		Rank hange	20° Major problem 29% 16% 10% 14% 10% 11% 7%	Minor problem 30% 38% 27% 21% 24% 19% 20%	Combined 59% 54% 37% 35% 34% 30% 27%
neighbourhood: (multi- Car parking Rubbish or litter Children/ Teenagers Noisy neighbours Drunk/rowdy behaviour Drug use / dealing Noisy traffic Vandalism/ graffiti	2009 Rank 1 2 4 5 7 3 6 8=	2010 Rank 1 3 5 4 6 2 7= 10	Rank 1 2 3 4 5 6 7		Rank hange	20° Major problem 29% 16% 10% 14% 10% 11% 7% 4%	Minor problem 30% 38% 27% 21% 24% 19% 20%	Combined 59% 54% 37% 35% 34% 30% 27% 23%

 \Leftrightarrow

\$

2%

3%

6%

4%

	Tenant satisfaction with	2009	2010	2011	↑	House mark Top %	House mark status	Target	Comments
	Overall quality of home								Below target, stable trend
		N/a	84%	84%	=	87%	Mid	86%	Housemark Middle band 83% - 86%
	Overall condition of home	85%	83%	83%	=	Not bench marked	N/a	85%	Below target, stable trend
Y	Repairs & maintenance service 1	87%	83%	85%	1	85%	Тор	85%	On target, top quartile improving trend
u r	Gas servicing arrangements ²	N/a	94%	93%	→	Not bench marked	N/a	95%	Below target, declining trend
P	63% respondents h their satisfaction w		pair co	mpleted	in th	e last 12 r	months.	Those 60	04 tenants rated
r o p	Attitude of workers	N/a	N/a	92%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	New set of 10 STAR repairs service questions
e	Keeping dirt & mess to minimum	N/a	N/a	89%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	
t y	Being told when workers would call	N/a	N/a	85%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	
,	Overall quality of work	N/a	N/a	88%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	
	Contractors doing the job expected	N/a	N/a	85%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	
	Overall repairs service on this occasion	N/a	N/a	85%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	
	Speed work was completed	N/a	N/a	85%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	
	Being able to make an appointment	N/a	N/a	83%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	
	Repair being done 'Right first time '	N/a	N/a	81%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	
	Time taken before work started	N/a	N/a	77%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	

¹ 2010STATUS question was *How satisfied were you with the way your repair was carried out?* 2011 STAR question was *Generally, how satisfied are you with the way your landlord deals with repairs and maintenance?*

maintenance?

² 2010 STATUS question was Overall satisfaction with gas servicing?

2011 STAR question was How satisfied are you with your landlord's gas servicing arrangements?

	Tenant satisfaction with	2009	2010	2011	↑	House mark Top %	House mark status	Target	Comments
	Overall landlord service	89%	86%	89%	↑	88%	Тор	87%	Exceeded target, top quartile & improving trend
	Reporting a repair	88%	82%	85%	^	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	
	Talking to an estate manager	65%	68%	63%	+	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	Low satisfaction, deteriorating trend
	Getting advice on moving home ³	58%	44%	40%	\	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	Low satisfaction, deteriorating trend
	Facilities to pay rent	N/a	89%	85%	\	Not bench marked	N/a	81%	Exceeded target, deteriorating trend
	Value for money from rent	85%	84%	84%	=	85%	Mid	86%	1% below top Housemark band
Υ	Advice & support to claim HB/benefits	N/a	N/a	71%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	
o u	Managing money & paying rent support	N/a	N/a	63%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	Low satisfaction
r	41% ⁴ respondents cor	ntacted	us in th	e last 1	2 mor	nths, Those	389 tena	nts rated t	heir satisfaction :
	Helpfulness of staff	86%	85%	81%	\	Not bench marked	N/a	86%	Below target, deteriorating trend
S e	Staff speed & efficiency	N/a	N/a	78%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	
r v	Ease of getting hold of right person	75%	71%	71%	=	Not bench marked	N/a	75%	Below target, stable trend
i	Staff ability to deal with the problem	81%	79%	71%	→	Not bench marked	N/a	81%	Below target, deteriorating trend
c e	Final outcome of their query	73%	71%	66%	→	Not bench marked	N/a	73%	Below target, deteriorating trend
	53% respondents said Of those, 6% had mad							enants exp	pressed satisfaction with:
	Ease of making a complaint	N/a	N/a	65%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	New set of 7 STAR complaints questions
	Information/advice from staff	N/a	N/a	53%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	Low satisfaction
	Support from staff	N/a	N/a	39%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	Very low satisfaction
	Speed complaint was dealt with	N/a	N/a	35%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	Very low satisfaction
	Being kept informed	N/a	N/a	32%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	Very low satisfaction
	Complaint outcome								Very low satisfaction
		N/a	N/a	31%	N/a	74%	Low	67%	Housemark Lower under 47% Middle band 48 -60%
	Overall handling of								Very low satisfaction
	complaint	N/a	N/a	32%	N/a	77%	N/a	75%	Housemark Lower under 48% Middle band 55 -67%

³ 2010 STATUS question was *Satisfaction with getting advice on council housing waiting list*?

2011 STAR question *How satisfied were you when you last went toget advice on moving home*?

⁴ 59% had made contact in 2009 & 68% had made contact in 2010 but these included contacts for rent payment.

	Tenant satisfaction with	2009	2010	2011	↑	House mark Top %	House mark status	Target	Comments
	Feeling landlord treats them fairly	N/a	N/a	80%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	New STAR question
Y	Being kept informed about things that might affect them	79%	82%	75%	→	Not bench marked	N/a	83%	Below target, deteriorating trend
u r	Opportunity to make views known	N/a	N/a	67%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	New STAR question
S a y	Listening to tenants' views and acting on them	72%	67%	65%	\	70%	N/a	72%	Below target, deteriorating trend Housemark Middle band 64 – 69%
	Opportunities to be involved in management and decision making	63%	58%	53%	\	Not bench marked	N/a	60%	Lowest customer satisfaction level since 2003
	Aware of housing's service standards	N/a	N/a	45%	N/a	Not bench marked	N/a	No target	New CYC question

2.5	Preference for bein	g kept	inform	ed and g	etting in touch (multiple response available)
Υ		2009	2010	2011	
0	By phone			63%	
u	In writing			44%	
r	Office visit		N/a 39% 16% 16%	39%	6 out of 10 customers prefer to be
	Newsletter	N/a		34%	contacted or updated by phone.
S	Home visit			contacted or appeared by priorie.	
а	Email			16%	
у	Text message			8%	
	Open meetings			7%	

	How did you last co	ntact	your la	ndlord	(one response only)
Υ		2009	2010	2011	
0	By phone	69%	74%	71%	
u	Office visit	22%	21%	31%	
	In writing	2%	2%	2%	7 out of 10 customers
S a y	Email	N/a	4%	5%	contact us by phone.

	What did you last h	ave co	ntact w	ith your	landlord about (one response only)
Υ		2009	2010	2011	
0	Repairs	72%	78%	71%	7 out of 10 customers
u r	Neighbours / neighbourhoods	7%	9%	15%	contact us about repairs.
S	Rent	6%	6%	8%	Twice as many customers contact us about
a	Moving home	3%	2%	8%	their neighbours or neighbourhood issues
У	Garden /communal	6%	2%	6%	as contact us about rent or moving home.